

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/789,177	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUNG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ehud Gartenberg	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(2) Michael Shimokaji.
**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 August 2005
**Time:** AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NA

**Claims discussed:**

All

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Larsen '394, Kotoc '317

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Agreement was reached on the attached amendment that defined the claimed invention over the prior art of record.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)